Objective:
This dashboard has been developed for establishing a baseline to track progress of CP AoR towards localization. The dashboard will also sensitize child protection coordination group in Myanmar to issues of localization and provide the basis to create an action plan towards increasing meaningful participation of local and national actors.

Methodology:
- 34 CP AoR partners were invited to participate in a baseline survey in April 2023.
- Survey period: July – December 2022
- Survey was conducted around 5 pillars – Governance and decision making, Influence and Participation, Partnerships, Funding, Institutional capacity.

Conceptualisation of localisation in the survey:
- Q: Is there collaboration in the coordination group to collectively address the capacity issues that are faced by partners?
- Q: Are partnerships between international and national organisations equal?
- Q: Who makes decisions, and who is in the room when decisions are made?
- Q: Who actually has power to participate in coordination and decision-making processes?
- Q: Who actually has power to influence in coordination and decision-making processes?

Influence
The Ask: On a scale of 1 to 5, how much influence do different organizations have in the CP AoR, where, 1 = No influence, 2 = Limited influence, 3 = Moderate influence, 4 = Influential, 5 = Very highly influential

Perception of Influence Level of Different Actors According to the Actors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Influence of Local Actors</th>
<th>Influence of International Actors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>According to: All</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>According to: Local or National Organisation</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>According to: International NGO</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>According to: UN Agency</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Influence Gap

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Influence of Local or National Organisation</th>
<th>Influence of International Organisation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>According to: All</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>According to: Local or National Organisation</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>According to: International NGO</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>According to: UN Agency</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Participation

The Ask: On a scale of 1 to 5, how much do different organizations participate in CP AoR where, 1 = No participation, 2 = Limited participation, 3 = Moderate participation, 4 = High participation, 5 = Very high participation

Perception of Participation Level of Different Actors
According to the Actors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Local Actors</th>
<th>International Actors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>According to: Local or National Organisation</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>According to: International NGO</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>According to: UN Agency</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Participation Gap

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Participation of Local and National Organisation</th>
<th>Participation of International Organisation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>According to: Local or National Organisation</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>According to: International NGO</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>According to: UN Agency</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Partnerships

Perception of Partnership with L/NNGOs
by Type of Actors

Access to donors = L/NNGO attends meetings with the donor, alongside UN/INGO partners (3 = Sometimes, 4 = Frequently, 5 = Always);
Discussing Problems = how comfortable L/NNGOs are to discuss problems (3 = Somewhat comfortable, 4 = Quite comfortable, 5 = Very comfortable);
Respecting Opinions = UN/INGOs respect L/NNGOs partners’ point of view (3 = Some respect, 4 = Respectful, 5 = Very respectful);
Accounting for L/NNGOs’ risk = Risks to L/NNGO staffs have been adequately taken into account in the partnership (3 = Moderately, 4 = Considerably, 5 = Completely);
Capacity Development of L/NNGO Staffs = by UN/INGO partners (3 = Ad hoc capacity development for organisation, 4 = Occasional capacity development for organisation, 5 = Work very well on capacity development together);
Inputs into Project Design = consideration of L/NNGOs partners inputs into project design (3 = Some collaboration, but donor leads, 4 = Work together, but donor/UN/INGO makes decisions, 5 = Work together collaboratively as equals);
Would recommend = if L/NNGOs and UN/INGO partners would recommend others about each other (3 = Unsure, 4 = Likely to recommend, 5 = Very likely to recommend)

Quality of Partnerships
(on a scale of 1-5 across the sector)

Access to donors: 3.5, Discussing Problems: 3.9, Respecting Opinions: 3.5, Capacity Development of L/NNGO Staffs: 3.9, Inputs into Project Design: 3.9, Accounting for L/NNGO’s risk: 3.5, Would recommend: 4.5

Average, 3.3 Room for Improvement, 1.7

Capacity

Type of Capacity Strengthening Activities Provided
to Local and National Actors by UN Agencies and INGOs

- General Programmatic
- Organisational or Operational
- Technical Child Protection

87%

13 out of 15 international actors identified in the survey working with L/NNGOs

82%

32% 38% 25%

Are UN agencies/INGOs actively approaching Local and National Actors’ Staffs?

43% 57%

Funding

8 in every 10 Children were reached by Local and National Actors (based on 5Ws reporting)

Total Children reached by Local/National actors in July - Dec 2022
186,883

Funding to Local and National NGOs, in USD

Unknown*
No further transfer to Local and National NGO
Through intermediary
Direct Donor to Local and National NGO

*Unknown transactions are when fund transfer was reported up to INGOs but further transaction to Local/National actors is unknown.