

Ar Yone Oo Social Development Association



Room C-1, Pyi Yeik Thar Condo, No. 499-A, Pyi Yeik Thar Street, 8 Ward, Kamaryut Township, Yangon, Myanmar.
Po Box 11101, E-mail: aryoneooinfo@gmail.com, director@aryoneoo-ngo.com,
www.aryoneoo-ngo.org, Tel: (+95) 9 975021430, (+95) 9 793445815

Term of Reference

Endline Assessment and Final Evaluation for "PROCLAIM" project in Chin State

On behalf of Ar Yone Oo Social Development Association and Welthungerhilfe, March, 2025

1. INTRODUCTION AND CONTEXT

Country:	Myanmar
Project title:	Promoting Climate Smart Agriculture and LANN+, and Strengthening Agriculture Value Chains to Improve Food and Nutrition Security for Vulnerable Communities in Chin State "PROCLAIM"
Project no.:	MMR – 1138
Project holder:	Ar Yone Oo Social Development Association
Approved budget:	1,214,800 Euro
Committed funds:	
Co-financer (line):	Ministry for Economic Cooperation and Development (BMZ)
Project period:	54 months duration: 01.11.2020 - 31.04.2025

Ar Yone Oo Social Development Association is a non-political, non-sectarian and not-for-profit organization operating in various parts of Myanmar since May 2008. It is committed to serve the most vulnerable people without prejudice of gender, religious or ethnic background. It initiates the establishment and capacity building of Community Based Organizations (CBOs) for sustainability of development projects. AYO has official registration as Myanmar NGO under the Ministry of Home Affairs and the registration number is (1/Internal/0085) and it was valided up to 25 October 2029.

Ar Yone Oo Social Development Association has completed over 20 projects which were funded by DFID, Oxfam, NPA, Mercy Corps, LIFT/ UNOPS, PACT, Ausaid, Burnet Institute, 3MDG/ UNOPS, WHH/ BMZ and Triangle GH/ AAP France, WFP, FAO, SPAS/ SIDA and WorldFish/

GIZ etc. AYO geared its strategy from emergency relief to rehabilitation toward sustainable development in the region through provision of livelihood assistance and capacity building to partner CBOs and the community in the project areas, namely Yangon, Sagaing, Magwe, Ayeyawaddy Regions, N-Shan, Rakhine and Chin States.

Welthungerhilfe is one of the largest private aid organisations in Germany, politically independent and non-denominational. WHH works for a world free from hunger and poverty. Since 2002 Welthungerhilfe has been active in Myanmar and working to support the most vulnerable and marginalised communities in the country. Drawing on decades of experience, WHH works in an integrated way to improve the food and nutrition security in communities with projects in the fields of agriculture, WASH, community development, rehabilitation and humanitarian assistance. WHH supports smallholder farmers and empowers grass-roots level Community Based Organisations (CBOs) to become self-reliant agents of change in their communities. WHH works closely with several national and international partner organisations and has a successful longterm partnership with AYO and have implemented three projects together.

AYO is implementing a 40+10+4-month project in 33 of the most vulnerable villages of Tedim and Tonzang Townships in Northern Chin State in technical partnership with WHH Myanmar (Welthungerhilfe). The project is funded by BMZ (Federal Ministry for Economic Cooperation and Development of Germany). Value chain and market development and a comprehensive nutrition-sensitive agriculture (LANN+) component are the road map of this project design. It will be based on a gender-responsive community development approach, building the capacity of village development committees and farmer's organizations in the targeted areas.

Project Goal: Food & Nutrition Security of rural, vulnerable households is sustainably improved

<u>Project outcome-1:</u> Rural households apply improved nutrition, dietary, sanitation, & hygiene practices

<u>Project outcome-2:</u> Homestead food production, preservation, & forestry collection is increased and diversified

<u>Project outcome-3:</u> Farmer's income from nutrition-sensitive & climate-smart production value chains increased

Project outcome-3: Women are empowered at the household and community level

2. PURPOSE OF CONSULTANCY

The final evaluation will assess the PROCLAIM project, focusing on its design, implementation, and overall results. This includes evaluating formative aspects (project design and logical framework), process aspects (implementation efficiency and effectiveness), and results (impact on beneficiaries and sustainability). The evaluation will serve as an independent external reflection, complementing Ar Yone Oo's internal learning processes. It will analyze 4 years and 6 months of implementation, using the OECD/DAC criteria: relevance, coherence, effectiveness, efficiency, impact, and sustainability.

The following are the key objectives for carrying out an end-of-project assessment and evaluation of the PROCLAIM project.

Assess project outcomes and impact: Understand and document key changes in the lives of the targeted population, how the project contributed to these changes, and the role of different stakeholders.
Conduct an endline assessment: Measure key project indicators, comparing endline data with baseline and midline findings to quantify progress.
Identify lessons learned and challenges: Highlight key takeaways from implementation, including potential gaps, and provide recommendations for future projects.
Evaluate project performance: Conduct a comprehensive review based on OECD/DAC criteria, offering an external perspective on project achievements, accountability to stakeholders, and insights for donors and beneficiaries.

3. SCOPE OF ENDLINE ASSESSMENT AND FINAL EVALUATION

The final evaluation and endline assessment will cover the full project duration from November 2020 to April 2025, focusing on the target groups, activities, and geographical coverage as outlined in the project proposal. The assessment will primarily collect quantitative and qualitative data on project outcomes, while the evaluation will analyze project achievements, effectiveness, and overall impact.

The geographical scope will center on the intervention areas of Tedim and Tonzang Townships in Chin State. Beyond assessing the direct project outcomes, the evaluation will also examine the collaboration between AYO and WHH, as well as engagement with other stakeholders, identifying strengths and areas for improvement. The findings should generate relevant recommendations to inform the design and implementation of future projects by AYO and Welthungerhilfe.

Due to the ongoing political situation, the evaluation strategy should consider restricted field access and ensure flexibility in the methodology. The consultant team should propose alternative data collection methods, such as remote interviews or digital surveys, where necessary, to ensure a comprehensive assessment and evaluation of the project.

4. USERS OF ENDLINE ASSESSMENT AND FINAL EVALUATION

The intended users of the endline assessment and final evaluation are Ar Yone Oo Social Development Association (AYO) staff and Welthungerhilfe (WHH) Country Office, who will utilize the findings to collect learnings and improve the implementation of future projects, particularly in relation to ongoing and future joint initiatives in Myanmar with a similar geographical focus or thematic approach.

Additionally, the German Federal Ministry of Economic Cooperation and Development (BMZ), as the donor, will use the evaluation findings to assess the impact of its funding. The project participants (communities where the project has been implemented) will also be involved in the evaluation process, and the results will be presented to them. This will help them gain a better understanding of the project's impact and empower them to actively engage with other development actors in the future.

Other secondary users include NGOs and development partners working in the same geographical area and/or focusing on similar subjects and target groups. These organizations can benefit from the evaluation insights to enhance their own strategies and programming.

5. EVALUATION QUESTIONS (AND CRITERIA)

The endline assessment and final evaluation will collect and analyze evidence to draw conclusions that answer the following key evaluation questions:

- 1. To what extent were the project results (expected outputs and outcomes) achieved within the implementation cycle, based on measurable indicators and community impact?
- 2. Are the results of the project sustainable, allowing for continued benefits for the target communities beyond the project's completion?
- 3. What specific project intervention areas can be scaled up, and what improvements are needed for greater effectiveness and long-term impact?
- 4. What key recommendations can be drawn for future projects with a similar thematic or regional focus?
- 5. Which specific project interventions had the greatest impact on the target communities, and what factors contributed to their success?
- 6. Was the management structure effective, including the cooperation between AYO and WHH, and what requires improvement for future partnerships?

Additionally, the endline assessment will include the Minimum Dietary Diversity for Women (MDD-W) survey to evaluate nutritional improvements and dietary diversity among women in the target communities. The MDD-W results will provide critical insights into the project's contribution to **nutrition-sensitive interventions** and support evidence-based recommendations.

5.1 Relevance / appropriateness

The end-line assessment and final evaluation will examine the relevance and appropriateness of the PROCLAIM project by addressing the following key questions:

- 1. How relevant were the project objectives in contributing to enhancing community resilience and improving food and nutrition security for vulnerable communities, particularly for women, marginalized households, and young people?
- 2. To what extent was the project design appropriate for achieving its intended objectives? Were the strategies and methodologies effective in addressing key challenges?
- 3. Were the project activities appropriately designed to tackle food and nutrition insecurity in the target areas? What improvements could be made to enhance their effectiveness?
- 4. Were the project approaches and models (e.g., LANN+, MAFF, and Producer Organizations (POs)) suitable for the communities, considering the current political dynamics and local contexts?

- 5. How well did the project align with the needs and priorities of key stakeholders and project participants? Were vulnerable and marginalized groups sufficiently included in the project design and implementation?
- 6. Which project interventions were perceived as most beneficial by different beneficiary groups, and why? How can these interventions be further strengthened or replicated in future projects?

The endline assessment will collect quantitative and qualitative data to measure the effectiveness of the project design and interventions, while the final evaluation will analyze how relevant, responsive, and adaptable the project has been to community needs and external challenges.

5.2 Coherence

The endline assessment and final evaluation will examine the coherence of the PROCLAIM project, focusing on the alignment and logical consistency of its design, framework, and implementation. The evaluation will address the following key questions:

- 1. How coherent were the project design and logical framework in relation to the achievement of its objectives and intended impact? Specifically, how well did the project integrate various components to drive meaningful change?
- 2. To what extent was there a clear and logical causal relationship between the project's inputs, activities, outputs, expected outcomes (immediate objectives), and impact (development objectives)? Was the theory of change effectively applied?
- 3. Were the indicators, assumptions, and risks valid and well-structured to accurately measure project progress and adaptability to external factors?
- 4. How appropriate were the project's approach and methodology in addressing food and nutrition insecurity, climate-smart agriculture, and community resilience? Were they flexible enough to adapt to the evolving political and socio-economic context?
- 5. Were the allocated resources (human and financial) and project duration adequate to achieve the intended results? Were there any gaps or inefficiencies in resource allocation that affected project implementation?
- 6. How effective was the identification and engagement of stakeholders and beneficiaries? Did the project successfully involve the right actors at different levels, and how well did their roles contribute to the overall impact?

The endline assessment will analyze data related to the project's design, implementation processes, and stakeholder engagement, while the final evaluation will provide an in-depth review of the logical consistency and effectiveness of the project framework in achieving its intended outcomes.

5.3 Effectiveness

The endline assessment and final evaluation will analyze the effectiveness of the PROCLAIM project in achieving its intended outputs and outcomes, assessing both the successes and challenges in implementation. The evaluation will address the following key questions:

- 1. To what extent have the intended project output and outcomes been achieved, or are likely to be achieved, by the end of the project? Specifically:
 - a. How effective were the supported villages revolving fund activities in enhancing positive coping strategies and improving resiliency in the target communities?
 - b. How successful was the support to SMEs in increasing household income and improving economic.
 - c. Did the farmer filed school (FFS) aricultural training lead to the adoption of improved practices and higher agricultural yields among participating farmers?
 - d. What impact did the grant support to the producter organization (Pos) have on PO ember's productivity, market access, and overall sunstainability?
 - e. Was the community-led total sanitation (CLTS) approach effective in improving sanitational hygiene practices in the target communities? How could this intervention be strengthened for greater impact?
- 2. How did external factors, including anticipated risks, positively or negatively influence the achievement of project outcomes? How well did the project adapt to these challenges?
- 3. Did the project generate any unintended outcomes, both positive and negative? What were the key unexpected benefits or challenges encountered during implementation?
- 4. How did different demographic groups (women, men, girls, and boys) benefit differently from project interventions? Were there any gender-specific challenges or successes that should be considered for future programming?
- 5. To what extent did the project contribute to improved nutrition outcomes, particularly through MDD-W (Minimum Dietary Diversity for Women)? Did targeted nutrition-sensitive interventions successfully enhance dietary diversity among women in the target communities?

The endline assessment will measure quantitative progress on these key indicators, including MDD-W, while the final evaluation will provide an in-depth analysis of the project's overall effectiveness, lessons learned, and recommendations for future programming.

5.4 Efficiency

The endline assessment and final evaluation will assess whether the resources invested in the PROCLAIM project were efficiently utilized in relation to the achieved results. The evaluation will address the following key questions:

- 1. To what extent were project resources appropriately allocated to achieve the intended outputs and outcomes effectively?
- 2. How does the project's efficiency rate as high, medium, or low? Provide justification based on resource utilization, cost-effectiveness, and achieved impact.

3. How efficient was the overall project management structure? Were the management arrangements and coordination mechanisms suitable for effective project implementation? The endline assessment will analyze resource allocation and cost-effectiveness, while the final evaluation will provide a broader reflection on project efficiency, identifying areas for optimization in future interventions.

5.5 Sustainability

The endline assessment and final evaluation will examine the sustainability of the PROCLAIM project, assessing the long-term viability of its outcomes and the potential for continued impact. The evaluation will address the following key questions:

- 1. To what extent has local ownership been established? Have community capacities improved in terms of informed decision-making, increased participation of women in leadership, and the development and implementation of investment plans? Has this resulted in greater ownership and attitude change toward resilience building?
- 2. What potential synergies exist with other projects in the food and nutrition security sector? How have these synergies been leveraged so far, and how can they enhance coordination and programmatic approaches moving forward?
- 3. What is the likelihood of sustained positive outcomes beyond the project's completion? Specifically, how probable is the continued operation and profitability of key interventions such as small and medium enterprises (SMEs), off-farm businesses, village revolving funds, and Producer Organizations (POs) established and supported through project grants?
- 4. Has a clear transition and/or exit strategy been developed in consultation with key stakeholders? Were relevant community members, local authorities, and partners engaged in ensuring the sustainability of project outcomes?

The endline assessment will collect quantitative and qualitative data to measure community ownership and sustainability indicators, while the final evaluation will provide an in-depth analysis of the project's long-term impact and replication potential.

5.6 Impact

The endline assessment and final evaluation will analyze the wider and long-term effects of the PROCLAIM project on target communities, institutions, and key stakeholders. The evaluation will address the following key questions:

- 1. To what extent have communities engaged with local institutional structures or local government (e.g., Village Development Committees and Producer Organizations) to access services and resources beyond the project?
- 2. How has the project contributed to strengthening the professional capabilities and capacities of Ar Yone Oo (AYO) as an implementing organization? What improvements have been observed in its ability to deliver and sustain impactful programming?
- 3. How effective was the monitoring system in the local context, and how meaningful and helpful were the results of the Multi-Dimensional Indicator (MDI) regarding resilience? Did the system support adaptive management and learning?
- 4. Is the project contributing to systemic changes, such as improved rights, better infrastructure, enhanced service provision, or stronger governance mechanisms?

- 5. Has the project had any intended or unintended impacts (both positive and negative) on:
 - The environment Did the project promote sustainable practices, or were there unintended environmental consequences?
 - o Gender equality To what extent did the project contribute to increased empowerment of women and gender-inclusive decision-making?
 - o Good governance Did the project strengthen local governance structures, transparency, and community participation in decision-making processes?

The endline assessment will collect quantitative and qualitative data to measure community engagement, institutional impact, and systemic changes, while the final evaluation will provide a deeper reflection on the broader and long-term effects of the project.

2. EVALUATION DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY

The endline assessment and final evaluation will employ a mixed-methods approach, integrating both quantitative and qualitative methods to ensure a comprehensive analysis of the PROCLAIM project's outcomes and impact. The methodology will be finalized in consultation with Ar Yone Oo (AYO) and Welthungerhilfe (WHH) through an inception report, which will outline the evaluator's understanding of the assignment, schedule, planned activities, suggested methods, and key stakeholders for engagement. A final agreement on the evaluation design and methodology will be reached based on this inception report.

Data Collection Methods

1. Desk Review

- o Review of project documents, baseline and midline reports, log frames, MEAL frameworks, and other relevant documentation.
- o Analysis of existing secondary data and reports to complement primary data collection.

2. Qualitative Data Collection

- o The data collection team must have technical expertise, localized knowledge, and experience, ensuring safe and reliable data collection.
- o If travel restrictions or security concerns prevent external consultants from visiting project sites, they should contract and train local enumerators with AYO's support to ensure accurate data collection.
- o Key Informant Interviews (KIIs): Conduct interviews with implementing partners (AYO), WHH staff, community leaders, and other key stakeholders.
- o Focus Group Discussions (FGDs): Engage sampled beneficiaries and nonbeneficiaries (using Randomized Control Trials where feasible) to capture diverse perspectives.

3. Field Observations and Reflections

- o Site visits to project locations for direct observations of implemented activities.
- o Triangulation of information through reflections and feedback sessions with the consortium team members.

Ensuring Data Quality and Inclusivity

Data collection tools will be gender-sensitive and integrate cross-cutting issues, p	particul	larly
gender equality and accountability.		

Evaluation tools will be translated into local languages where necessary to minimize bi	as
and ensure the inclusion of diverse perspectives.	

The methodology wi	ill ensure that	the views of	of all stak	eholders,	including	community
members, implement	ing partners, an	id donors, ai	re captured	d comprehe	ensively.	

The endline assessment will primarily focus on quantifying project outcomes through surveys and comparative data analysis, while the final evaluation will synthesize findings, assess effectiveness, and provide strategic recommendations based on both qualitative and quantitative evidence.

Sampling plan.

The endline assessment and final evaluation will use a systematic sampling approach to ensure a representative selection of project participants and stakeholders. The sample selection will be based on the beneficiaries' database, which contains detailed information on all individuals and groups reached through the project.

For the quantitative assessment, a statistically valid sample will be drawn from the database to ensure accurate measurement of key project indicators, such as Minimum Dietary Diversity for Women (MDD-W), household income changes, agricultural productivity, and resilience levels. For qualitative study, participatory assessment tools will be employed to gather in-depth insights

into project experiences, successes, and challenges. These will include:

| Focus Group Discussions (FGDs): Engaging both beneficiaries and non-beneficiaries to

understand the impact of interventions at the community level.
Key Informant Interviews (KIIs): Conducted with project stakeholders, implementing
partners (AYO and WHH staff), local government representatives, and community leaders
to assess project effectiveness, sustainability, and stakeholder engagement.

The sampling strategy will ensure that diverse geographical locations, demographic groups, and intervention types are adequately represented in both the assessment and evaluation phases.

Presentation of Findings

Upon completion of the endline assessment and final evaluation, the findings will be formally presented to AYO and WHH staff, as well as other relevant stakeholders, through a structured workshop session. This will provide an opportunity for discussion, feedback, and reflection on the results.

The consultant(s) will be responsible for compiling, analyzing, and presenting the findings in a comprehensive evaluation report that integrates both endline assessment data and final evaluation insights. The report should:

Clearly differentiate quantitative findings (endline assessment) from qualitative analysis
(final evaluation) while ensuring coherence.

- ☐ Provide comparative insights by assessing progress against baseline and midline data.
- ☐ Offer practical recommendations for future programming based on the evaluation's key learnings.

The final assessment and evaluation report will be submitted to both WHH and its implementing partner (AYO) for further use in decision-making, donor reporting, and future project design.

3. MANAGERIAL ARRANGEMENTS / ROLESAND RESPONSIBILITIES

The consultant(s) will report to the Project Management Team of Ar Yone Oo (AYO) and Welthungerhilfe (WHH) Myanmar regarding all administrative matters related to the consultancy. For technical aspects of the endline assessment and final evaluation, the consultant will receive technical support and guidance from WHH's MEAL Expert and Nutrition Expert, particularly in data collection methodologies, evaluation design, and analysis of key indicators, including Minimum Dietary Diversity for Women (MDD-W).

Consultant(s) Responsibilities

The consultant(s) will be responsible for:

Endline Assessment Report Responsibilities:

☐ Conducting a comprehensive endline survey to measure key project indicators.

 Comparing findings with baseline and midline data to quantify progress. Coordinating with local enumerators and stakeholders for field assessments while considering the political situation and access constraints. Ensuring timely and high-quality execution of the endline assessment activities. Preparing and submitting the Endline Assessment Report with annexe by April last week. Presenting findings to AYO, WHH, and relevant stakeholders in a structured and actionable
 considering the political situation and access constraints. Ensuring timely and high-quality execution of the endline assessment activities. Preparing and submitting the Endline Assessment Report with annexe by April last week.
 Ensuring timely and high-quality execution of the endline assessment activities. Preparing and submitting the Endline Assessment Report with annexe by April last week.
☐ Preparing and submitting the Endline Assessment Report with annexe by April last week.
Presenting findings to AVO WHH and relevant stakeholders in a structured and actionable
in the straining in a first of the straining to the straining the straining to the straining the straining to the straining to the straining t
format.
Final Evaluation Report Responsibilities:
☐ Reviewing endline assessment findings and conducting additional data collection if
required.
☐ Conducting qualitative assessments such as Key Informant Interviews (KIIs) and Focus
Group Discussions (FGDs) to analyze project impact.
☐ Evaluating the project's design, effectiveness, efficiency, impact, and sustainability based
on OECD/DAC criteria.
☐ Regularly updating the AYO and WHH teams on progress and addressing any emerging
challenges.
☐ Preparing and submitting the Final Evaluation Report, including executive summary by
May last week.
□ Providing a Final Evaluation Summary Version for project participants.
☐ Presenting final findings to AYO, WHH, and key stakeholders.
Both reports will be submitted to AYO and WHH for review, validation, and future reference in

4. DELIVERABLES AND REPORTING DEADLINES

The following deliverables are expected to be produced by the evaluator(s) with a provisional timeline:

Endline Assessment Phase

programming and decision-making.

S/N	Deliverable	Deadline
1	Inception Report (max. 5 pages, including methodology, sampling size, and draft questions; follows a standard outline provided upon contracting). Requires approval from the contracting party.	April 1st week
2	Endline Assessment Mission:	
	a. Briefing and evaluation of PROCLAIM project management team in Yangon	March last week
	b. Field mission in project areas (detailed mission plan to be discussed before fieldwork)	April 2nd half
	c. Debriefing workshop by consultants in Yangon (field team joins via an online platform)	April 3rd and last week
3	Endline Assessment Report (25-35 pages main text, excluding annexes). Must include:	April last week

	a. Assessment of project's developmental impact based on the logical framework	
	b. Stakeholder analysis	
	c. Lessons learned	
	d. Opportunities for scaling up the project	
4	Raw Data Used for Analysis (organized, cleaned, and submitted in an agreed format)	April 3rd week

S/N	Deliverable	Deadline
5	Final Evaluation Mission	
	a. Review of Endline Assessment findings and validation	May 1st week
	b. Additional data collection if required	May 2nd week
	c. Stakeholder consultations and verification	May 3rd week
6	Final Evaluation Report (comprehensive assessment integrating Endline findings; 25-35 pages main text, including executive summary). The report must:	May last week, 2025
	a. Evaluate the project's overall outcomes and impact	
	b. Assess stakeholder engagement and sustainability	
	c. Identify key learnings and recommendations	
7	Final Evaluation Summary Version for Project Participants	May last week
8	Debriefing Notes (2-4 pages summarizing key preliminary findings)	May last week
9	Photos (digital file of images from FGDs, interviews, final workshops in JPEG or GIF format)	May last week

Key tasks with list of 'key activities', under each responsibility.

The consultant(s) will undertake the following key tasks for both the endline assessment and final evaluation:

- 1. Review of project documents and secondary information, including relevant project reports, surveys, and studies.
- 2. Conduct Key Informant Interviews (KIIs) with key stakeholders who were fully engaged in project implementation.
- 3. Facilitate a series of Focus Group Discussions (FGDs) with selected beneficiaries from various participating groups, including:
 - o Farmers (vegetable producers, PO members)
 - Pregnant and lactating women

- Village Development Committees
- o Disaster and Water Management Committees
- Other relevant community groups to assess resilience levels
- 4. Verify the existing Logical Framework and Results Framework to determine if they remain valid and can be replicated.
- 5. Analyze opportunities for learning, identifying aspects of the project that could be further developed, scaled up, or replicated in future projects.
- 6. Assess areas of community transformation, including:
 - Inclusive decision-making between implementing organizations and affected communities.
 - o Partnership dynamics between implementing organizations and target communities in building resilient livelihoods.
 - Resilience thinking: Are the affected communities now more resilient?
- 7. Prepare a summary of key findings and recommendations, including new ideas and innovative approaches, and present them during a restitution/debriefing workshop with the project management team and key stakeholders.
- 8. Develop a comprehensive report, submit for review, incorporate feedback, and finalize the document.

5. RESOURCES AND AVAILABLE DATA

Ar Yone Oo social Development Association and Welthungerhilfe Myanmar shall provde the necessary information, resources and data required for the successful implementation of the consultancy. This includes access to:

Relevant project documents (e.g., project proposal, logical framework, budget, and implementation plans).
Mid-term evaluation reports and other relevant project evaluations.
Templates for the inception report and final evaluation report , ensuring alignment with organizational standards.
Any additional materials relevant to the evaluation process, subject to availability.

6. TIME FRAME / SCHEDULE

The consultancy will be conducted over a tentative period of 35 days from March to May 2025, covering both the endline assessment and final evaluation. The breakdown of the timeframe is as follows:

Activity	Duration
Briefing meeting	0.5 days
Preparation and Inception Report	4 days
Field Data Collection (Endline Assessment Phase)	10 days
Briefing on Endline Findings	0.5 days
Report Writing (Endline Assessment Report)	5 days
Review of Endline Findings & Validation (Final Evaluation Phase)	3 days
Additional Data Collection if Required	3 days
Stakeholder Consultations & Verification	4 days
Final Report Writing & Submission	4 days
Presentation of Final Report & Findings to WHH and AYO Staff (in-person if possible)	1 day
Total Duration	35 days (negotiable)

7. CONFIDENTIALITY

All documents and data acquired from documents as well as during interviews and meetings are confidential and to be used solely for the purpose of the evaluation.

The deliverables as well as all material linked to the evaluation (produced by the evaluator(s) or the organisation itself) is confidential and remains at all times the property of the contracting party.

8. EXPERTISE OF THE EVALUATORS

- ☐ Graduate degree in planning, food security, livelihoods, monitoring and evaluation, economics sciences, development studies, development economics, agricultural economics, or other related fields with proven experience in resilience measurement, food and nutrition programmes assessments, rural development, poverty reduction, economics, and other areas relevant for the assignment.
- ☐ At least 5 years senior experience in participatory monitoring, evaluation, research in the areas of food and nutrition security, resiliency in community's socio-economic development and disaster risk reduction programme.

	Fluency in English language, Myanmar language skills will be an advantage (In case the evaluator does not speak Myanmar language, a translator should also be hired)
	Experience in designing and conducting baseline, end line, and other comparable quantitative surveys.
	Experience working and collaborating with diverse sets of stakeholders, such as local NGOs, government officials, donor representatives, local and international staff.
	Excellent report writing skills and strong analytical skills
	Knowledge of the Myanmar context and relevant experience in development and humanitarian interventions
9.	TECHNICAL AND FINANCIAL OFFER
The ap	plicants have to provide:
	A technical and financial proposal
	The technical part of the proposal should include a reference to the perceived feasibility of the ToR (including suggestions for specific evaluation questions) It should also include a brief description of the overall design and methodology of the evaluation and a work plan with timeframe /adaptation to the work plan at hand (max 4 pages).
	The financial part includes a proposed budget for complete evaluation. It should state the fees per working day, the number of working days proposed, and other costs. Proof of professional registration and taxation is required (e.g., by providing the evaluator's PIN)
	CV with references.
	Examples of work from similar assignments.
Note: 1	For the financial proposal:
	The financial part should include travel costs and accommodation.
	All insurances are of the responsibility of the evaluators
	Soft copies of relevant documents will be provided by Ar Yone Oo and Welthungerhilfe
	Ar Yone Oo staff will facilitate community entry and contact with other interviewees.
	Material for workshop facilitation will be provided by Ar Yone Oo. And large number of copy charges will also be covered by Ar Yone Oo.
	Translators' cost need to be included in the financial proposal.
	Laptops or tablets need to be provided by the evaluators.

Offers have to be signed or should include the phrase "valid without signature":

10. HOW TO APPLY

Individual consultants, commercial companies, NGOs and academics that fulfill the requirement shall submit detailed technical and financial proposals via email to aryoneooinfo@gmail.com or haudshwe@gmail.com by 23 March 2025.

For further information contact:

Email – <u>h.m.mang@gmail.com</u>, Tel: (+95) 9765684557, (+95) 9254443010